



# 2024 STATE PERFORMANCE UPDATE


---

SUPPLEMENT TO HIGHER EDUCATION  
IN FOCUS 2019



# MICHIGAN





## ABOUT THE MIDWESTERN HIGHER EDUCATION COMPACT

As an interstate compact, the Midwestern Higher Education Compact (MHEC) brings together midwestern states to develop and support best practices, collaborative efforts, and cost-sharing opportunities. Through these efforts it works to ensure strong, equitable postsecondary educational opportunities and outcomes for all.

Member states of MHEC are Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

### COMPACT LEADERSHIP, 2023-2024

**Chair:** Rep. Barbara Ballard, Kansas State Legislature;

**Vice Chair:** Sen. Joan Ballweg, Wisconsin Legislature;

**Treasurer:** Mr. Larry Tidemann, South Dakota

**Governor's Designee;** Past Chair: Dr. David Eisler,

**Former President;** Professor, Community College Leadership Studies, Ferris State University

**President:** Ms. Susan G. Heegaard

© Copyright 2024 Midwestern Higher Education Compact.  
All rights reserved.

Data analyses were conducted by Shaun Williams-Wyche, Associate Director of Research and Data Analysis.

MHEC would like to acknowledge the helpful feedback of members of the [Review Panel](#) for State Policy and Performance Data.

Correspondence concerning this report should be sent to Aaron Horn, Associate Vice President of Research, [aaronh@mhec.org](mailto:aaronh@mhec.org).

Additional indicators are available in the MHEC Interactive Dashboard: [mhec.org/dashboard](https://mhec.org/dashboard).

Updates to this report may be found at: [mhec.org/policy-research](https://mhec.org/policy-research).

# ABOUT THIS REPORT

This supplement to Higher Education in Focus 2019 provides the latest data on a subset of indicators relevant to the goal of improving educational attainment in Michigan. Performance indicators are categorized within six areas: Preparation, Participation, Affordability, Completion, Finance, and Workforce. Most indicators provide the national and Midwest regional values as well as the median of the top five states in the nation as possible benchmarks. Most performance indicators are also disaggregated by family income and race/ethnicity to assess critical opportunity and achievement gaps.

The 2024 Performance Update contains the same indicators presented in previous updates, along with a new Workforce category that focuses on unemployment rates, the retention of college graduates in the state workforce, and the earnings premium of a college

credential. Returning to the update after a three-year hiatus is the percentage of persons aged 18-24 who are currently enrolled or have completed some college or higher by race and ethnicity, as the requisite data are now available. Sampling issues during the 2020 administration of the American Community Survey did not allow reliable estimation of enrollment rates by race and ethnicity for the previous three versions of the *Performance Update*<sup>1</sup>

The next version of *Higher Education in Focus* will be released in 2025 and every five years thereafter. The *Performance Update* will continue to be released annually during intervening years. For additional background, sources, and technical notes, see [mhec.org/policy-research](https://mhec.org/policy-research) for the full report. Additional indicators are available through the [online interactive dashboard](#).

## SELECTED PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

### PREPARATION

Percentage of SAT-Tested High School Graduates in Michigan Who Met or Exceeded College Readiness Benchmark Scores by Highest Level of Parental Education.....4

Percentage of SAT-Tested High School Graduates in Michigan Who Met or Exceeded College Readiness Benchmark Scores by Race and Ethnicity.....5

### PARTICIPATION

Percentage of Dependent 18- to 24-Year-Old Residents Who Are Currently Enrolled or Have Completed Some College or Higher by Family Income.....6

Percentage of Persons Aged 18-24 Who Are Currently Enrolled or Have Completed Some College by Race and Ethnicity .....7

### AFFORDABILITY

Percentage of Family Income Needed to Pay the Average Net Price of Full-Time Enrollment at Public Institutions for Low- and Median-Income Households.....8

Percentage of Family Income Needed to Pay the Average Net Price of Full-Time Enrollment at Public Two- and Four-Year Institutions in Michigan by Race and Ethnicity.....9

### COMPLETION

Percentage of First-Time, Full-Time, Degree/Certificate-Seeking Students Who Graduated Within Three Years From Their First Public Two-Year Institution and Graduated Within Six Years From Their First Four-Year Institution in Michigan by Pell Grant Recipient Status.....10

Percentage of First-Time, Full-Time, Degree/Certificate-Seeking Students in Michigan Who Transferred or Graduated Within Three Years From Their First Public Two-Year Institution and Graduated Within Six Years From Their First Four-Year Institution by Race and Ethnicity.....11

### FINANCE

State and Local Educational Appropriations for Higher Education Per FTE Student.....12

State and Local Educational Appropriations for Higher Education Per FTE Student by Institutional Sector.....13

State and Local Fiscal Support for Higher Education Per \$1,000 of Personal Income.....14

State Need-Based Grant Aid Per FTE Undergraduate Student...15

Percentage of State Aid Defined as Need Based.....16

### WORKFORCE

Average Unemployment Rate by Educational Attainment.....18

Percentage of College Graduates Employed Anywhere in the United States 1, 5, and 10 Years After Completing a Credential...19

Percentage of Employed College Graduates Working in State of Graduating Institution 1, 5, and 10 Years After Completing a Credential.....20

Median Earnings and Earnings Premium by Educational Attainment.....21


<sup>1</sup> For more information, see U.S. Census Bureau. (2021). *An assessment of the COVID-19 pandemic's impact on the 2020 ACS 1-year data*. See also the [Interactive Dashboard](#) for enrollment by race/ethnicity in previous years.

# PREPARATION

**Academic proficiency of high school graduates.** The proportion of high school graduates taking the SAT who meet college readiness benchmarks provides a key measure of the academic preparation of college-bound students. Benchmark scores defined by the College Board in Evidence-Based Reading and Writing (480) and Math (530) delineate a 75 percent likelihood of attaining at least a grade of “C” or higher in first-year college-level courses. The percentage of tested high school graduates

meeting college readiness benchmarks is shown by the highest level of parental education as a proxy for socioeconomic status and by race/ethnicity. It should be noted that this indicator does not reflect the academic preparedness of students who opted out of SAT testing but still enrolled in college under test-optional admission policies, which have been increasingly adopted since the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Figure 1. Percentage of SAT-Tested High School Graduates in Michigan Who Met or Exceeded College Readiness Benchmark Scores by Highest Level of Parental Education**




Source: College Board. (2024). *SAT suite of assessments annual report, 2024*. Note. All high school students in Michigan are required to take the SAT as part of the Michigan Merit Examination (MME).



At least half of tested graduates across most parental education levels in Michigan met the SAT college readiness benchmark in Evidence-Based Reading and Writing. However, academic preparation is strongly correlated with socioeconomic status, as the percentage of tested high school graduates who met or surpassed college readiness benchmarks consistently increases with higher levels of parental education.

# PREPARATION

Figure 2. Percentage of SAT-Tested High School Graduates in Michigan Who Met or Exceeded College Readiness Benchmark Scores by Race and Ethnicity



Source. College Board. (2024). *SAT suite of assessments annual report, 2024*. Note. All high school students in Michigan are required to take the SAT as part of the Michigan Merit Examination (MME). The underrepresented minority category comprises American Indian, Black, Hispanic, and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander graduates. Some Asian subgroups are underrepresented in higher education (e.g., Hmong) but cannot be separated from the Asian category.




About 61 percent of tested Asian graduates in Michigan met or surpassed the SAT college readiness benchmarks in both tested subjects, compared to 34 percent of White graduates and 12 percent of underrepresented minority graduates.

# PARTICIPATION

**Young adult enrollment.** The participation of young adults in college is defined as the percentage of all 18- to 24-year-old adults in the state who are currently enrolled in college or have completed some college coursework/credits or a credential. Enrollment rates are provided for family income and racial/ethnic groups.

The enrollment gap by income is gauged by comparing college enrollment rates among dependent 18- to 24-year-old residents by the family income quartiles of all households in the state. Low income is represented by the bottom quartile, middle income by the second and third quartiles, and high income by the top quartile.

**Figure 3. Percentage of Dependent 18- to 24-Year-Old Residents Who Are Currently Enrolled or Have Completed Some College or Higher by Family Income**




Source: U.S. Census Bureau. (2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022). *Current Population Survey*. Five-year estimates. Top 5 States, 2018-2022: Total Population: NJ, RI, IL, NY, CT; Low Income: NY, CT, NJ, RI, CA; Middle Income: NJ, IL, RI, CT, NY; High Income: IL, RI, MD, NJ, NY.



The enrollment rates of dependents from low- and middle-income families in Michigan are lower than the enrollment rate of 18- to 24-year-olds from high-income families. Similar disparities in college enrollment rates are seen across the Midwest and the nation.

# PARTICIPATION

**Figure 4. Percentage of Persons Aged 18-24 Who Are Currently Enrolled or Have Completed Some College by Race and Ethnicity**



Source: U.S. Census Bureau. (2021, 2022, 2023). *American Community Survey one-year public use microdata sample*. Top 5 States, 2021-2023: RI, MA, ND, NY, CT. Note. The underrepresented minority category comprises American Indians, Blacks, Hispanics, and Pacific Islanders. The "Other" and "Two or More Races" categories only include respondents who reported their ethnicity as non-Hispanic. Some Asian subgroups are underrepresented in higher education (e.g., Hmong) but are not separated from the Asian category due to small sample sizes. The estimate for Pacific Islanders in Michigan should be interpreted with caution due to a high margin of error.




**About 52 percent of underrepresented minorities in Michigan (ages 18-24) are currently enrolled or have completed some college, compared to 68 percent of Whites.**

# AFFORDABILITY

**Ability to pay.** The ability to pay for college is measured by the percentage of family income needed to pay the average net price of full-time enrollment at public two- and four-year institutions. The average institutional net price is calculated as the total cost of attendance (tuition and fees, books, supplies, and room and board) minus the average institutional, local, state, and federal grant aid. In order to assess the degree of affordability

for students of different income levels, this indicator is presented for families with median income (\$86,012 for Michigan in 2022) and families with low income, which is defined as an income equal to the federal poverty level for a family of four (\$27,750 in 2022). The indicator is also presented by the median income for families in each racial and ethnic group.

**Figure 5. Percentage of Family Income Needed to Pay the Average Net Price of Full-Time Enrollment at Public Institutions for Low- and Median-Income Households**




Source: NCES IPEDS. (2022). *Student financial aid and net price, winter 2022-23*. U.S. Census Bureau. (2022). *American Community Survey one-year estimates, Table B19125: Median family income in the past 12 months by presence of own children under 18 years*. Top 5 States, 2021-22: Public two-year institutions: (Low-income students: KY, IL, MS, AL, MI) (Median-income students: RI, VA, AL, IL, NC); Public four-year institutions: (Low-income students: FL, AK, IN, WY, HI) (Median-income students: UT, AK, HI, ND, FL).



**Michigan ranks among the top five states in the nation for the affordability of public two-year institutions for students from low-income families and is better than the Midwest and national benchmarks for students from median-income families. The percentage of income needed for public four-year enrollment in Michigan is lower than the Midwest and national benchmarks for students from low-income families but higher than the Midwest and national benchmarks for students from median-income families. Moreover, the percentage of family income needed for enrollment differs by income group. Two-year college attendance for students from low-income families requires 17 percent of family income, compared to 11 percent of income for students from median-income families. Four-year college attendance in Michigan for students from low-income families requires 31 percent of family income, compared to 21 percent of income for students from median-income families. Similar differences in college affordability are seen across the Midwest and the nation.**

# AFFORDABILITY

**Figure 6. Percentage of Family Income Needed to Pay the Average Net Price of Full-Time Enrollment at Public Two- and Four-Year Institutions in Michigan by Race and Ethnicity**



Source: NCES IPEDS. (2022). *Student financial aid and net price, winter 2022-23*. U.S. Census Bureau. (2022). *American Community Survey one-year public use microdata sample*. Note: The underrepresented minority category consists of American Indian, Black, Hispanic, and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander families. Estimates for some racial and ethnic groups are unavailable due to small sample sizes. Some Asian subgroups are underrepresented in higher education (e.g., Hmong) but are not separated from the Asian category due to small sample sizes.



**College attendance in Michigan requires a greater share of family income for underrepresented minority students than for White students. Underrepresented students are disproportionately represented among lower-income students.**


# COMPLETION

**Institutional graduation rates.** Institutional graduation rates are defined by the proportion of first-time, full-time students who graduate at their beginning institution. Specifically, graduation rates at two-year colleges are measured by the proportion of first-time, full-time certificate/degree-seeking students in the fall 2019 cohort who completed an associate degree or certificate at their first public two-year college within three years (Figure 8 also counts students who transferred to another institution, whereas Figure 7 only counts completers due to data limitations). Graduation rates at four-year institutions are defined by first-time, full-time, bachelor’s

degree-seeking students in the fall 2016 cohort who completed a bachelor’s degree at their first four-year institution within six years (without accounting for transfer to another institution).

These indicators are provided for family income and racial/ethnic groups. The completion gap by income is estimated by comparing graduation rates among federal Pell Grant recipients and non-Pell recipients. In 2024-25, a dependent student from a family with a household size of four (two parents and two children) and an adjusted gross income of \$76,313 or less would be eligible for a Pell Grant.

**Figure 7. Percentage of First-Time, Full-Time Degree/Certificate-Seeking Students Who Graduated Within Three Years From Their First Public Two-Year Institution and Graduated Within Six Years From Their First Four-Year Institution by Pell Grant Recipient Status**




Source: NCES IPEDS. (2022). *Graduation rate, winter 2022-23*. Top 5 States, 2022: Public two-year: (Pell recipients: SD, KY, MS, WI, FL) (Non-Pell recipients: SD, ND, KY, MS, FL); Public four-year: (Pell recipients: FL, CA, NJ, IA, NH) (Non-Pell recipients: DE, VA, CA, FL, NJ); Private not-for-profit four-year: (Pell recipients: MA, UT, CA, CO, MD) (Non-Pell recipients: MA, CT, RI, CA, PA).



**The graduation rates of both low-income (i.e., Pell Grant recipients) and higher-income students at public four-year institutions in Michigan are above the Midwest and national benchmarks. However, the graduation rates of low-income students lag behind the graduation rates of higher-income students at two- and four-year institutions. Similar disparities in college graduation rates are seen across the Midwest and the nation.**

# COMPLETION

**Figure 8. Percentage of First-Time, Full-Time, Degree/Certificate-Seeking Students in Michigan Who Transferred or Graduated Within Three Years From Their First Public Two-Year Institution and Graduated Within Six Years From Their First Four-Year Institution by Race and Ethnicity**



Source: NCES IPEDS. (2022). *Graduation rate, winter 2022-23*. Note: The underrepresented minority category comprises American Indian, Black, Hispanic, and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander students. Some Asian subgroups are underrepresented in higher education (e.g., Hmong) but cannot be separated from the Asian category.

\*Estimate for racial and ethnic group is unavailable due to small cohort size.



The graduation rates of American Indian, Black, and Hispanic students in Michigan were lower than the graduation rates of White students across all types of institutions. However, data available on [MHEC's Interactive Dashboard](#) show that the graduation rates for Pacific Islander students attending public two-year colleges in Michigan surpassed the Midwest and national benchmarks, and the graduation rates at public four-year institutions in Michigan met or surpassed the Midwest and national benchmarks across all racial and ethnic groups.

# FINANCE


**Educational appropriations.** State and local educational appropriations for higher education include funds used for public institutional operating expenses and financial aid for students attending public institutions. These appropriations exclude spending for research, agriculture-related programs, and medical education, as well as support for private institutions or students attending them.

**State funding effort.** The state’s overall effort to fund higher education is portrayed as state and local fiscal support for higher education per \$1,000 of personal income. This is one measure for assessing the level of funding for higher education relative to available resources. State and local fiscal support consists of state tax appropriations, local tax support, additional non-

tax funds such as lottery revenue that support higher education, and funds appropriated to other state entities for specific higher education expenditures or benefits. State and local appropriations in this indicator are used for general operations, agriculture-related programs, public student aid, medical education, and support for independent institutions or students attending them.

**Need-based aid.** Two indicators reflect state investments in student grant aid. First, the level of state funding for grant aid based on financial need (relative to solely merit or other criteria) is measured by the amount of need-based grant aid per full-time equivalent (FTE) student. Second, the state’s commitment to providing need-based aid is measured by need-based aid as a percent of total grant aid allocations.

**Figure 9a. State and Local Educational Appropriations for Higher Education Per FTE Student**




Source: SHEEO. (2024). *State higher education finance: FY 23*. Note. Estimates have been adjusted for inflation to 2023 dollars using the Higher Education Cost Adjustment.



**State and local funding for higher education per FTE student in Michigan declined between 2001 and 2012 but has since increased. However, funding in Michigan remains below the Midwest and national levels.**

# FINANCE

Figure 9b. State and Local Educational Appropriations for Higher Education Per FTE Student by Institutional Sector




Source: SHEEO. (2024). *State higher education finance: FY 23*. Note. Estimates have been adjusted for inflation to 2023 dollars using the Higher Education Cost Adjustment.



State and local funding for higher education per FTE student at public two-year institutions in Michigan increased between 2019 and 2023. In contrast, public four-year institutions, which rely solely on state appropriations, consistently had lower funding over the period. Funding for these institutions peaked at \$9,349 in 2022 before declining in 2023.

# FINANCE

Figure 10. State and Local Fiscal Support for Higher Education Per \$1,000 of Personal Income




Source. SHEEO. (2024). *State effort and capacity to fund higher education*. State Higher Education Finance. Note. Estimates have been adjusted for inflation to 2022 dollars using the Consumer Price Index.



Funding per \$1,000 of personal income in Michigan approximated the Midwest level in 2022.

# FINANCE

Figure 11. State Need-Based Grant Aid Per FTE Undergraduate Student




Source: National Association of State Student Grant and Aid Programs. (2012, 2022). *Annual survey report on state-sponsored student financial aid*. Note. Estimates have been adjusted for inflation to 2022 dollars using the Consumer Price Index. Top 5 States, 2021-22: WA, NJ, VA, CA, IL.



Consistent with the overall trend in the Midwest, state need-based grant aid in Michigan increased over the past decade, but aid was below the Midwest and national benchmarks in 2021-22. In 2023-24, the need-based Michigan Achievement Scholarship began offering up to \$5,500 per year to students attending public and private four-year institutions in Michigan and students enrolled in a four-year degree program at a Michigan community college.

# FINANCE

Figure 12. Percentage of State Aid Defined as Need-Based



Source: National Association of State Student Grant and Aid Programs. (2012, 2022). *Annual survey report on state-sponsored student financial aid*. Top 5 States, 2021-22 (includes ties): AZ, HI, ME, MT, RI, TX, MN, CA, IL, VT, KS.



Michigan allocates 99 percent of its grant aid based on financial need (rather than solely merit or other criteria), which is above the Midwest and national benchmarks.

# WORKFORCE

---

**Unemployment rates.** This indicator provides comparisons of average unemployment rates among adults by educational attainment level. The unemployment rate reflects the average percentage (over a 12-month period) of persons aged 25 and older in the civilian labor force who are not employed, available for work (except for temporary illness), and have made specific efforts to find employment. Unemployment rates are shown for all persons aged 25 and older as well as for those whose highest level of education is (a) a high school diploma or equivalent; (b) some college but no degree or an associate degree; and (c) a bachelor's degree or higher. College certificates are included within the "some college/associate degree" category.


**Cohort employment rates.** This indicator shows the percentage of college graduates employed anywhere in the United States 1, 5, and 10 years after completing a certificate, associate degree, or bachelor's degree. The data are organized by the state of the graduating institution and include graduates from 2006 to 2015. The number and types of institutions contributing data vary by state.

**College graduate retention.** This indicator shows the percentage of employed college graduates working in the state of their graduating institution 1, 5, and 10 years after completing a certificate, associate degree, or bachelor's degree. The indicator includes college graduates from 2006 to 2015. The number and types of institutions currently providing data vary by state.

**College graduate earnings.** The earnings level of college graduates is assessed through a comparison of median earnings by educational attainment level and the earnings premium. Median earnings is calculated among adults ages 25 and older with earnings over the previous 12 months whose highest level of education is a high school diploma (including equivalency), some college credit (including a certificate) or an associate degree, or a bachelor's degree. The college earnings premium is defined by the difference between the median earnings of adults with a specific level of postsecondary education and adults with only a high school diploma.

# WORKFORCE

Figure 13. Average Unemployment Rate by Educational Attainment




Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2023). *Employment status of the civilian noninstitutional population 25 years and older, by educational attainment*. Top 5 States (includes ties), 2023: Total Population: VT, ND, SD, NE, WY, AL, MD, NH; High School Diploma: SD, ND, NH, RI, WY, AL, MT; Some College or Associate Degree: VT, ND, HI, SC, SD, AR, IA, UT; Bachelor's Degree of Higher: ND, NE, VT, WV, AK, SD, WY, AL.



Unemployment rates in 2023 were lower among adults with at least some postsecondary education, relative to those with only a high school diploma. In addition, the unemployment rate of bachelor's degree recipients in Michigan was below the national benchmark.

# WORKFORCE

**Figure 14. Percentage of College Graduates Employed Anywhere in the United States 1, 5, and 10 Years After Completing a Credential**




Source: U.S. Census Bureau. (2006-2010, 2007-2009, 2011-2015, 2013-2015). *Postsecondary Employment Outcomes (PSEO) public-use data*. Note: Data for Michigan were provided by the University of Michigan's Institute for Research on Innovation and Science and represent 10% of graduates from public and private institutions. The Midwest percentages reflect data from participating institutions in 9 of 12 states: IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, MO, OH, SD, and WI. The Midwest benchmarks include graduates from both public and private institutions. Ten-year employment outcomes are only available for graduates from 2006 to 2010.



The majority of Michigan bachelor's degree recipients were employed 1, 5, and 10 years after completing a credential, though the percentages were below the Midwest benchmarks.

# WORKFORCE

**Figure 15. Percentage of Employed College Graduates Working in State of Graduating Institution 1, 5, and 10 Years After Completing a Credential**




Source: U.S. Census Bureau. (2006-2010, 2007-2009, 2011-2015, 2013-2015). *Postsecondary Employment Outcomes (PSEO) public-use data*. Note. Data for Michigan were provided by the University of Michigan's Institute for Research on Innovation and Science and represent 10% of graduates from public and private institutions. The Midwest percentages reflect data from participating institutions in 9 of 12 states: IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, MO, OH, SD, and WI. The U.S. percentages reflect data from participating institutions in 30 states. The Midwest and U.S. benchmarks include graduates from both public and private institutions. Ten-year retention outcomes are only available for graduates from 2006 to 2010. The estimates include graduates with either in-state or out-of-state residency status upon initial enrollment.



About 30 to 40 percent of employed Michigan bachelor's degree recipients were working in Michigan 1, 5, and 10 years after completing their degree. However, Postsecondary Employment Outcomes (PSEO) data for this indicator were only available for University of Michigan graduates (representing 10% of the state's college graduates).

# WORKFORCE

Figure 16. Median Earnings and Earnings Premium by Educational Attainment



Source: U.S. Census Bureau. (2023). *American Community Survey one-year estimates, Table B20004: Median earnings in the past 12 months by sex by educational attainment for the population 25 years and over.*



Michigan has a higher earnings premium for bachelor’s degree attainment compared to both the Midwest and U.S. averages. For those holding a bachelor’s degree, the earnings premium in Michigan is \$27,987, which is higher than the Midwest average of \$25,478 and the national average of \$27,828. Individuals with some college credit (including a certificate) or an associate degree in Michigan earn an additional \$5,612 annually compared to high school graduates.

Higher Education in Focus is produced on a periodic basis. The 2024 supplement supports Higher Education in Focus 2019. As MHEC prepares for the next version of Higher Education in Focus, feedback and ideas are welcomed on the content that will help support your state's work.



**MIDWESTERN  
HIGHER EDUCATION  
COMPACT**

**MIDWESTERN HIGHER EDUCATION COMPACT**

105 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 450 Minneapolis, MN 55401

PHONE: (612) 677-2777 FAX: 612-767-3353 E-MAIL: [mhec@mhec.org](mailto:mhec@mhec.org)

VISIT MHEC'S WEBSITE AT [MHEC.ORG](http://MHEC.ORG)