

# Findings of the OER Course Marking Landscape Analysis Survey


## Presenters:

- Gina Johnson, Principal & Cofounder, Data EmpowerED Consulting
- Liliana Diaz, Policy Analyst, Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education

## MHEC Contact:

- Jenny Parks  
Vice President, Policy & Research  
[jennyp@mhec.org](mailto:jennyp@mhec.org)

February 8, 2024




This presentation is being recorded.



Resources available on the MHEC website post-event.



Submit questions in the Q&A.



Please complete our survey.

# Presenters



**Jenny Parks**  
*Vice President*  
MHEC



**Liliana Diaz**  
*Senior Policy Analyst*  
WICHE



**Gina Johnson**  
*Principal & Cofounder*  
Data EmpowerED Consulting


# National Consortium for OER (NCOER)

- Support from the Hewlett Foundation
- Partnership between the four higher education regional compacts: MHEC, NEBHE, SREB, WICHE
- Coordinated by the Southern Regional Education Board (SREB)



# First Report in the Series

<https://www.mhec.org/resources/report-toward-convergence>



# Student Cost Savings Framework



## Step 1: Identify Courses and Sections Using OER

- ✓ Adopt the standard definition of OER and, if appropriate, low-cost material
- ✓ Ensure faculty members and/or other relevant Staff know the definitions
- ✓ Create mechanisms for leaders and students to identify courses with OER, ideally within a data system linked to enrollment.



## Step 2: Determine the Actual or Estimated Enrollment for Courses Utilizing OER



## Step 3: Multiply Enrollment By The Cost of the Resourced Replaced by OER

# Calculating Student Cost Savings




$$\text{Total Enrollment} \times (\text{Cost of Original Resource} - \text{Any Costs to Students}) = \text{Cost Savings}$$

**Key Enabler:** [Course marking](#) enables both institutions AND students to identify courses that use OER or low-cost material, which makes it easier for students to plan and save money and states and systems to estimate how much money students saved.

**Helpful Resource:** [The Open Education Network data dashboard](#) helps leaders track the various components of cost savings.

# WICHE Research on OER

# Time-to-Completion: No-Cost/Low-Cost



- Pilot study
- Recruited several institution
- Data challenges
- Promising findings
- Expanding to national study on no-cost/ZTC

## UNDERSTANDING THE IMPACT OF OER ON STUDENT SUCCESS

*Seeking 2 & 4-Year Institutions and/or  
Systems to Participate in this Study*

### ABOUT THE RESEARCH

The Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE) and Driving OER Sustainability for Student Success (DOERS3) are examining whether OER accelerates student's time-to-credential completion and whether this varies by how many OER courses a student has completed. The study also explores whether OER accelerates completion among historically excluded and marginalized students.

### WHAT YOU RECEIVE?

- FREE analysis of your data
- Consultation with study researchers
- **Individualized report on findings from your institution's data**

### THANK YOU TO...

the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation for making this research possible and to DOERS3 for their partnership and collaboration.

### EXPLORING STUDENT SUCCESS

- Can OER be used as a retention and persistence strategy?
- Can OER be used as a degree pathway intervention?
- Do OER has disparate completion effects for different student populations?

### WHO SHOULD PARTICIPATE

- Two & Four-year institutions and/or systems with course marking for:
  - OER, no-cost/low-cost, ZTC

### FOR MORE INFORMATION OR TO PARTICIPATE CONTACT:


Liliana Diaz | [ldiaz@wiche.edu](mailto:ldiaz@wiche.edu)

# National Study on OER Impact on Credential Completion

- Use student-level data to run analysis
- Data uploaded in WICHE's secure data environment
- Researchers prepare individualized report of findings for your institution
- Data collection February/March and completion of project November 2024

# Current Report in the Series

<https://www.mhec.org/resources/findings-oer-course-marking-landscape-analysis-survey>



# Survey Methodology

- Conducted by MHEC in collaboration with the National Consortium of Open Educational Resources (NCOER)
- 164 respondents representing 29 states
- Topics explored:
  - Course marking and validation processes
  - Motivations for course marking
  - Use of course marking data
  - Course marking opportunities and challenges
- [Report](#) published November 2023

# Does your institution/system have a method for marking courses that use OER?

Response	Percentage	Number
Yes, we have implemented a method for marking courses.	37%	60
No, but we are in the formal planning stage of method development.	6%	10
No, but we have discussed developing a method for marking courses.	31%	51
No, this is not a topic we have discussed at our institution.	26%	43
Other	0%	0

# *Course Marking Process*


# At your institution/system, which of the following course marking designation(s) includes OER? (Select all that apply.)

Response	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number
	Institutions		Systems	
OER is its own designation	33%	15	13%	1
OER is captured as part of "No cost to students/Zero Textbook Cost (ZTC)"	67%	30	88%	7
OER is captured as part of "Low cost to students/Low Textbook Cost (LTC)"	29%	13	38%	3
Other		9		1

30 different terms shared involving 41 different definitions.

Most common (11): "Low-cost" is <\$40

# What units or individuals are involved in course marking at your institution? (Select all that apply.)




# What was the process that led to the establishment of course marking? (Themes)

- State law was enacted
- System-level/Board policy was enacted
- Participation in external programs related to OER (e.g., Open Education Network's Certificate in OER Librarianship, OpenStax Institutional Partner Program)
- Initiated by OER leads (e.g., librarian, teaching & learning, Registrar)
- Initiated by OER/affordable learning committee
- Initiated by faculty and retention professionals
- Initiated by student government
- Initiated by one department and spread to institution
- Dean-level/leadership support
- Feature of bookstore catalog
- Created Z-degree and needed a method of tracking participation

24% of institutions and 25% of systems that responded have a **committee or task force** that oversees course marking of OER.

# Where are course marking data stored for access by the institution/system for administrative purposes? (Select all that apply.)



# Course Marking Validation Process

Is **training** provided for the individuals responsible for marking course data related to OER?

## Institutions

33% Yes | 49% No | 18% Unsure

## *Systems*

13% Yes | 63% No | 25% Unsure

When an individual marks a course as using OER, is there a **process for checking** that the course does, in fact, use OER?

## Institutions

42% Yes | 53% No | 4% Unsure

## *Systems*

25% Yes | 63% No | 13% Unsure

# *Use of Course Marking Data*

# In which of the following ways does your institution/system use course marking data related to OER? (Select all that apply.)

Response	Percentage	Number
To provide information to students about course materials.	85%	11
For cost savings/return on investment (ROI) analyses.	38%	5
To assess impact on student outcomes.	38%	5
To comply with reporting requirements.	23%	3
We do not currently use course marking data related to OER.	15%	2

*Survey respondents who shared their email address to receive a preview of results and who reported that they mark courses were asked to complete a brief follow-up survey on use of course marking data. 13 respondents completed the follow-up survey.*

# *Technology*

# If your institution/system has an SIS and/or course catalog software, did you need to make changes to your SIS and/or software to be able to mark courses with OER?

## Institutions

42% Yes | 32% No | 26% Unsure

## Systems


71% Yes | 14% No | 14% Unsure

Necessary technology changes:

- Add a new designator field or attribute to their system(s), though a few institutions indicate course type using a comment field
- Labels were added so that students can search for different course types in the course catalog
- Changes made to the course search and display pages so that the SIS/software displayed course type for students to access in their searches

# *Motivations for Course Marking of OER*

# Policies Related to Course Marking



***What is working well?***



## Resource Allocation

- OER part of strategic plan
- Resources committed to OER
- Committed and helpful registrar
- Effective OER committee



## Technology/Process

- Attribute already existed in systems
- Bookstore and publishers recognize markings
- Established workflow



## Communications

- Between bookstore and institution
- With students



## Ease of Use

- For students to see costs/find courses
- For faculty to report information



## Other

- Visual signal to students – affordability and accessibility
- Promotes OER/increased number of courses using OER
- Award for depts offering the most courses using OER
- Provides useful data for the institution

***What are the obstacles?***



## Lack of Support

- OER use is low
- No state-level policy
- Lack of funding
- Leadership turnover
- Lack of institutional commitment
- No committee
- No lead
- Lack of faculty involvement
- Challenging to work with IT/textbook provider
- Limited tech resources
- Lack of time



## Definition challenges

- Lack definition of “low cost”
- Lack clarity when faculty say no textbook required (e.g., OER, on reserve, in database with institutional fee)



## Technology/Process

- Bookstore partner system is inconsistent
- Course marking not available in institutional systems
- Difficult to make changes in SIS
- Lack of universal SIS
- Data not easily extractable
- Difficult to compile data in one place for students
- Process not automated
- Marking done by course, not faculty



## Data input

- Inconsistent/lack of marking by faculty
- Course materials change by semester
- Mark course, not faculty for section
- Data are needed earlier than faculty are assigned
- Lack of verification of data
- High turnover in admin asst who input data



## Awareness

- Lack of student awareness that data are available
- Lack of faculty awareness that they need to initiate the marking process

# Next Steps

- Explored the topic of marking open and affordable courses with a workgroup of national experts (September 2023 to January 2024).
- Compiling resources to draft a report of recommendations of the OER Course Marking Workgroup (January to April 2024).
- Report of recommendations anticipated Summer 2024.

# Questions



Submit  
questions in  
the chat.